

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/263,358		03/05/1999	DENNIS D. FERGUSON	TAN98-24	1714	
21005	7590	10/03/2002			e e	
		OK, SMITH & RE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
530 VIRGI P.O. BOX	9133	_	SMITH, SHEILA B			
CONCORI), MA UI	742-9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				. 2685		
				DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					α					
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	ν_{i}					
	09/263,358	3	FERGUSON ET AL	/						
Office Action S	Examiner		Art Unit							
		Sheila B. S		2685						
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication	appears on the	cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If the period for reply specified above	S COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	N. t 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statut	nt, however, may a r	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely.	·					
If NO period for reply is specified above Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later to earned patent term adjustment. See 3 Status	ded period for reply will, by sta han three months after the ma	atute, cause the applic	cation to become AE	BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	minorication.					
1) Responsive to comm	inication(s) filed on									
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	• • • • • • •	——· This action is r	non-final							
,	,—			tters, prosecution as to the	e merits is					
closed in accordance Disposition of Claims					o momo io					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are p	ending in the applica	tion.								
4a) Of the above claim	(s) is/are with	drawn from con	sideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are	allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are	objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Papers										
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119										
13) Acknowledgment is m		eign priority un	der 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)										
	of the priority docum									
<u> </u>	•			Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
14) Acknowledgment is ma			•		l application).					
a) ☐ The translation of 15)☐ Acknowledgment is ma	the foreign language	provisional ap	plication has t	een received.						
Attachment(s)	22 0. 0 0.0 10. 0011			. 00						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statement	rawing Review (PTO-948			Summary (PTO-413) Paper Not Informal Patent Application (PTo						

Art Unit: 2685

) 1

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims (1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6236647. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the various embodiments and claim language.

Regarding claims 1-12, U.S. Patent Number 6236647 discloses a method for communication of data between a transmitter and a receiver over one or more communication channels in data being provided in a frame the method comprising the steps of at the transmitter dividing the frame as specified in claims 1-12 of this application. However, U.S. Patent Number 6236647 fails to specifically disclose the minor variations in language /scope recited herein but does not preclude the prior claims from encompassing the claims herein. The controlling fact is that patent protection for the methods for improving the accuracy of a wireless location system, fully disclosed in and covered by the claims of the patent would be by allowance of the claims in

Art Unit: 2685

G

the instant application connectivity of these components as claimed in the application.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why the applicant was prevented from presenting the claims in their corresponding prior application for examination

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,781,542).

Regarding claims 1-8, Tanaka et al. discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Tanaka et al. discloses a information communication system using multi-code CDMA mode, in addition Tanaka et al. discloses a method for communication of data between a transmitter and a receiver over one or more communication

Art Unit: 2685

Page 4

channels in data being provided in a frame the method comprising the steps of at the transmitter dividing the frame (as disclosed in column 10 lines 4-5), combining multiple segments in to blocks (as disclosed in column 10 lines 5-6), applying a forward error correction encoding (as disclosed in column 10 lines 5-6), sending the forward error correction block over a communication channel (as disclosed in column 10 lines 8-9), at the receiver applying a forward error correction decoding (as disclosed in column 10 lines 10-12), dividing the received block into segments, determining if any segment was received in error and request retransmission as disclosed in column 2 lines 21-39.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gorsuch et al. (U. S. Patent Number 6,151,332) discloses protocol conversion and bandwidth reduction technique providing multiple NB+D isdn basic rate interface links over a wireless code division multiple access communication system;

Wadzinske et al. (U. S. Patent Number 5,815,508) discloses method and apparatus for providing information between communication devices;

Art Unit: 2685

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (703)305-0104. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-6306 for regular

communications and (703)308-6296 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

S. Smith October 1, 2002

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

chinean

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600